

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9                   AT SEATTLE

10                   DONALD COLE BURCHETT,

11                   Plaintiff,

12                   v.

13                   KARI COOK, *et al.*,

14                   Defendants.

Case No. C05-503L

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
PROTECTION

15  
16         This matter comes before the Court on the “Motion Requesting Protection” (Dkt. # 17)  
17 filed by plaintiff. In his motion, plaintiff, who is currently incarcerated, claims that he is being  
18 threatened by defendants and their friends, is prevented from making photocopies, and is denied  
19 access to evidence relating to this lawsuit.

20         Plaintiff’s motion is denied. Plaintiff’s allegations, though serious, are unsupported and,  
21 at best, vague. Plaintiff does not identify which “friends” are threatening him, how those threats  
22 are connected to this lawsuit, or what evidence he has been unable to access. Similarly, plaintiff  
23 does not identify the type of “protection” that would accord him relief. Under the  
24 circumstances, plaintiff has not provided sufficient evidence for this Court to grant prospective  
25  
26

ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR PROTECTION

1 relief. For all of the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion is DENIED.

2

3 DATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2005.

4

5 

6 Robert S. Lasnik  
7 United States District Judge

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26